

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCÉ United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/918,964	07/31/2001		Morihiko Minowa	FUJO 18.889	2573		
26304	7590	10/17/2006	·	EXAMINER			
		ROSENMAN LLI	FILE, ERIN M				
575 MADIS NEW YORK		022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2611			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/918,964	MINOWA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Erin M. File	2611			

	Erin M. File		2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day a wing replies: (1) ptice of Appeal (	as filing a Notice of an amendment, af with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expired to the statutory period fo</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or ater than SIX MOI	(2) the date set forth NTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the co shortened statutor r than three month	prresponding amount v period for reply orio	of the fee. The appropring of the fee.	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (3	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and	late of filing a brief or search (see NO	r, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		eal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		umber of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		d Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if subm	itted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be e vided below or a	ntered, or b) 🗌 wi	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on th d sufficient reas	e date of filing a N ons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all reje	ctions under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT plac	e the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Pa	per No(s).	Λ	
13.		1	JAY K. PATEL	U
		SUPERVICE	JAY K. PATEL	_

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitations of independent claims 1 and 11 require a new search of the claimed subject matter.